UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,100	10/21/2003	Mark H. Shipton	117396	3816
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 10/02/200 RIDGE, PLC	8	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 3208	350		MILLER, M	IICHAEL G
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/689,100	SHIPTON ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL G. MILLER	1792
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) MICHAEL G. MILLER.	(3) <u>Abdul Basit</u>	
(2) <u>Michael Cleveland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representa	itive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet.		
	oo at	
Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation St		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)[_] was not reached. h)[_ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: See Continuation She		I to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	o copy of the amendments tha	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 1 GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS II FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIINTERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		1
	i	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/689,100	SHIPTON ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL G. MILLER	1792
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) <u>MICHAEL G. MILLER</u> .	(3) <u>Abdul Basit</u> .	
(2) <u>Michael Cleveland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represent	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet.		
Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation S	heet.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.		I∇/ N/Δ
Tigrosman manyespecial the claims ty was specially	. g/ was not reastica. In/	(23 / 4/).
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: See Continuation She		ed to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attac	o copy of the amendments th	r agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGINTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS I FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alre ER OF ONE MONTH OR THI NTERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	eady been filed, APPLICANT IS IRTY DAYS FROM THIS RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Michael A. Millon		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)		٠٠,	plication No.	
Claims Discussed: and 10	denerally		##/	
Identification of Prior Art Discus	ssed: <u>Klotz, Bomberger</u> ,	Mosser		

. \ *	r , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8	\$: E	
Substance of Interview: 1)7	Emperature language in	claim I was	discussed	2) Amalog
Substance of Interview: 1)7	Emperature language in son concentration/pre	claim 1 was a	discussed	2) Amalog 3) Criticali
Substance of Interview: 1)7 of substrates and of Concentration for con	Emperature language in ion concentration/pre ating components was e	claim was a sence was di liscussed -	discussed conserd:	2) Amolog 3) Criticau
Substance of Interview: 1)7 of Substrates and i of Consentration for con	Emperature language in ion concentration/pre ating components was o	claim I was di sense was di Ciscussed.	discussed conssed:	. 2) Amalog 3) Criticali
Substance of Interview: 1) 7 Of Substrates and i Of Concentration for con	Emperature language in ion concentration/pre ating components was e	claim 1 was c sence was di liscussed.	discussed scusseds	. 2) Amalog 3) Criticuli